



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/059,943 01/28/2002		Yakov Kamen	004688.P056	004688.P056 5148	
33448	7590 06/16/2004		EXAM	INER	
ROBERT J. DEPKE LEWIS T. STEADMAN			TRAN, TAM D		
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC 131 SOUTH DEARBORN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	30TH FLOOR			~	
CHICAGO, IL 60603			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	4 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/059,943	KAMEN, YAKOV				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tam D Tran	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period which is the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day-ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2004.					
	action is non-final.	·				
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list  Attachment(s)	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10-132)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Examiner suggests that applicant specifically defines the geometry attributes and information attributes. Also, does the word "contention" mean in contrast, contrary, mismatch, mis-align?

According to the reference, examiner found screen tiles (rectangular portions of the screen corresponding to information attributes) which comprising geometry attributes, geometry attributes of tiles were sent to geometry modifier for modifying. See Fig. 5, Fig.6, Col.20 lines 8-65.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Putnam et al. (USPN 5262965), hereinafter simply Putnam.

3. In regard to claim 1,7, Putnam teaches a method and computer readable medium, comprising: receiving one or more information attributes to be applied to an object; see col.27 lines 25-27; determining if the one or more information attributes (screen tiles are rectangular portions of the screen corresponding to information attributes) in are in contention with one or more geometry attributes of the object; see Fig.5, Fig.6, col.20 lines 8-63; and when the one or more information attributes (screen tiles, rectangular portions which having geometry attributes corresponding to in contention with geometry attributes) are in contention with the one or more

Art Unit: 2676

geometry attributes, modifying the one or more geometry attributes to reduce the contention with the one or more information attributes (tiles are sent to geometric modifier for modifying geometric attributes). See Fig.5, Fig.6, col.20 lines 49-64.

- 4. In regard to claims 2, 8, Putnam teaches a method and computer readable medium, wherein the contention between the geometry attributes and the information attributes is reduced (slow inputting and outputting of data) without modifying the information attributes. See col.7 lines 59-52.
- 5. In regard to claims 3, 9, Putnam teaches a method and computer readable medium, wherein the modified one or more geometry attributes are proportional with the one or more information attributes. See col.20 lines 60-64.
- 6. In regard to claims 4, 10, Putnam teaches a method and computer readable medium, wherein the geometry attributes are derived from the object. See col.20 lines 50-54.
- 7. In regard to claims 5, 11, Putnam teaches a method and computer readable medium, wherein the geometry attributes are derived from an input image of the object. See col.20 lines 50-54.
- 8. In regard to claims 6, 12, Putnam teaches a method and computer readable medium, further comprising: combining the modified geometry attributes and the information attributes to form a texture map. See col.19 lines 5-10.
- 9. In regard to claim 13, 16, 20, Putnam teaches a system and apparatus, comprising: a processor; a memory coupled to the processor; a storage device coupled to the memory and the processor, see col.16 lines 40-50, the storage device comprising: a geometry modifying code to modify one or more geometry attributes of an object to create new geometry attributes that

. Application/Control Number: 10/059,943

Art Unit: 2676

reduce contention with one or more information attributes of the object; see col.20 lines 55-64; and a texture map generating code to combine the new geometry attributes with the one or more information attributes to create a texture map to be applied to the object. See col.18 lines 61-65.

- 10. In regard to claims 14, 17, 21, Putnam teaches a system and apparatus, wherein the storage device further comprising: an information attribute receiving code to receive the information attributes to create the texture map. See col.18 lines 61-65.
- 11. In regard to claim 18, Putnam teaches a system and apparatus, wherein the information attribute receiver includes an attribute separator to separate the information attributes from background attributes. See col.27 lines 25-27
- 12. In regard to claims 15, 19, 22, Putnam teaches a system and apparatus, wherein the geometry modifying code modifies the one or more geometry attributes of the object to preserve informative content of the information attributes. See col.20 lines 55-64.

#### Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/29/2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach "when the one or more information attributes are in contention with the one or more geometry attributes, modifying the one or more geometry attributes." However, examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument because on Fig. 5, Fig.6, Col.20 lines 8-65, Putnam teaches screen tiles (rectangular portions of the screen corresponding to information attributes) which comprising geometry attributes, geometry attributes of tiles were sent to geometry modifier for modifying corresponding to in contention

Application/Control Number: 10/059,943

Art Unit: 2676

with the geometry attributes modify geometry attributes. For these reasons, the rejections are

Page 5

maintained.

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tam D. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-4196. The

examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

# Page 6

## (703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tam Tran

Examiner

Art unit 2676

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (. Bella